

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/888,679   | 06/25/2001                              | Jeffrey R. Shutic    | 11694/04112         | 9972             |
|  | 7590 03/28/2007<br>LTER & GRISWOLD, LLI | )                    | EXAM                | INER             |
| 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE<br>SUITE 1400<br>CLEVELAND, OH 44114 |   |                      | NGUYEN, DINH Q      |                  |
|  |   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  | ,                                       |                      | 3752                |                  |
|  |   |                      |                     |                  |
|  |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |   |                      | 03/28/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 09/888,679      | SHUTIC ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
| Dinh Q. Nguyen  | 3752          |  |

|  | Dinh Q. Nguyen  | 3752  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | lress  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c   | idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C           | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)               |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date</li> </ul>  | e of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejecti                               | ion.   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  |   | E FIRST REPLY WAS F                                       | ILED WITHIN                                  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi       | riate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   | " "   | ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                   |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                     |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |   | ecause                                       |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially re   |   | the issues for                               |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |   | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | mnliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324) .                                 |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |   | mphant Amendment  | (1 101-024).                                 |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | timely filed amendme                                      | ent canceling the                            |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 13,40 and 41. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,14,28,30-36,38,39,42,43,48-51 all Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:                                    | vided below or appended.  | ll be entered and an o                                    | explanation of                               |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | it before or on the date of filing a N<br>id sufficient reasons why the affidat   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>s necessary and             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(            | ils to provide a<br>1).                      |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attac                                    | hed.   |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa                                    | nce because:                                 |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   | Jah y   | yr   |
|  |   | Dinh Q Nguyen<br>Primary Examiner                         | 1  |

Art Unit: 3752

Continuation of 13. Other: claims 1, 48, and 65 were amended to overcome the prior art. However, the newly added limitations are considered as new issues that would require further search form the Examiner.